



www.insightsfromspirit.com

Information about “the Mischievous Spirits”

By 2012 Maurice Barbanell

Is most strange you know listening to my friend and I, excuse me I must partake in a little bit of water. I must advise Red Cloud that he is allowed to wet the whistle from time to time. They get into a problem you know. They get into this conversation and they forget to breathe or to drink or do all the things that are necessary to continue and sustain life upon the Earth Plane. It's very difficult when you follow somebody like Red Cloud you know. You want to come and converse and allow questioning to come forth on a communication basis and he just doesn't leave anything which requires further explanation does he?

He makes it so clear. One cannot possibly question it.

One must always question. It doesn't matter who the portrayer of the messages are, always question because sometimes it doesn't necessarily ring true with your vibration or your mental attitude at the time. I'm not saying that it won't come forward and as you change your thinking that you do not then accept what is being said. But we're all at a different level and because we're all at a different level we all have a different perception of what is right, what is wrong and our level of understanding, although we are trying to bring it all up to a par. This is not this case that everybody is equal and one of the beauties of the Earth Plane is we have this mishmash of levels of vibration.

Well something I remembered from way back when Red Cloud was explaining about the circle of power and the mischievous ones and how it held them out, I remembered a long time ago being told that if somebody was coming near you or wanted to speak through you, you could always ask them if they were from the light and they would have to answer correctly. Now these mischievous ones they are also from the light aren't them? Or not?

They would give you the information and the response which you would feel comfortable with.

I understood they had to answer correctly. That is not correct?

That is a general statement rather than a specific statement. In general terms yes you are correct but if you get an attack, as we had (*our medium was subject to an attack at one of his demonstrations*) it was carefully orchestrated and therefore the individual who took occupation would have told you exactly what you wanted to hear.

OK thank you for that explanation.

But you must always remember that when lessons are given they are given in generalities. They are given on what is the norm, but that doesn't mean to say the norm is the case 100% of the time.

No I understand but this question just popped into my memory from way back.

You are quite correct but it depends upon the level of the mischievous one. If the individual is merely a misguided soul then yes, your answer is correct 100% of the time and when the guidance or the question was responded to is what was considered to be the essence behind the question. That in normality if an individual comes through and you ask them if they come from the light, yes they have to say yes I do, or no I don't, but if you have a truly mischievous person, individual who has come deliberately to disrupt, you do not expect them to tell the truth do you because after all if they are there to disrupt they will tell you anything you want to hear, providing it serves their purpose. Do you understand?

Yes I do thank you for clearing that up.

There's never any black and white to any answer or question and that is also a problem that so many people have you know.

It is just as well you were not present where the medium, no Roy. I will eliminate calling our medium our medium at the moment because we are friends after all and why should I not converse with the person who occupies the physical body I'm using in the manner in which I would converse with him on a one to one basis. After all when I'm talking to him in his clairvoyant state, and we do converse at times, not as often as I would like but we do converse at times, it would be stupid of me to suddenly keep referring to him as the Medium wouldn't it and therefore why, when I suddenly occupy his physical being, should I then change my form of address. So I hope you do not mind I will in future utilise his name that he had been given upon the Earth Plane and I believe that with my lead you will find that a lot of other people will follow, because it was necessary in the early stages to differentiate the medium from the person, and the medium from the group, the circle, and therefore it was necessary for us to always refer to the channel, the physical body that we were occupying, as the medium where in fact when we're occupying it, it's not the medium at all. It might be their physical body but it is not them who are utilising it and therefore why should I refer to him in the third person?

You have to be very careful at times don't you. You make these observations about one of our group, just being flippant, and individuals suddenly take offence.

They take it personally.

Yes and you think how on earth did they do that, that was not my intent at all. But it happens. They get far too sensitive. I'm very pleased that I have been able to eliminate that from my being because it's a bloody awful trait really isn't it. Excuse my blasphemy but I felt it was correct in that particular case to utilise it.

I have adequately brought the vibration down to the required level so therefore you will not leave the meeting today all with a severe headache which is what would have happened you know. You are aware that that is why we have to bring the vibration down, because if we don't the physical effect on your physical being would be uncomfortable and it is never our intent to make you feel uncomfortable either physically or emotionally. We do at times. Sometimes we have to make you feel uncomfortable emotionally because we need to get a point over and sometimes we have to tell you something you don't really want to listen to, or you don't want to hear.

It doesn't happen very often.

No I know it doesn't, but it does occasionally and it's the old saying that if you don't want to hear the answer don't ask the damn question and if you've asked the question be prepared for the answer whether it's in a manner in which you would like to hear it, or in a manner which you would not like to hear it.

If I may say so personally I would rather it was said straight, the truth.

That is as well because that's exactly what we intend to do and have done and will continue to do.

Well thank you for that.

One of our group asked a question about which bed in her home was better for her and the truth of the matter neither were, so therefore the answer to the question was no I cannot give you an answer of which one is better because you'd be far better to have them totally removed and utilise another one.

He did ask me if I wanted the truth before he answered and I said yes. So we now have a new bed!

We are aware of that and we are benefitting from it are we not?

Absolutely. It's wonderful.

But that, I was merely utilising that as an example, that you ask a question and really the answer you get isn't what you wanted to know.

I think we've always sort of been aware of that haven't we but sometimes we don't ask the questions because we don't want to know the answer!

Well I can understand that but if you analyse that question, which is better the one or the other and the correct answer to that question would have been the one or the other, not neither, because that wasn't what was asked. After all you didn't ask should I change my bed. You asked which of the two beds that I have is the better and therefore the answer or the response that was given was outside the parameters of the question.

But I was asked if I wanted the truth.

I am aware of that, but I'm merely using that as an example because it's easy to understand when you're talking about something so mundane. Unlike the advice you were given earlier about your car. You had no concept about changing your vehicle at the time but you were advised that if you didn't you'd have problems. Therefore that is what we would consider doing our job because there would be no advantage to you suffering the problems of having a method of transport which was giving you problems. This would not benefit your being, your advancement, in one iota and therefore we offered help and you took it up which we are most impressed with, your level of faith and confidence in what you are told and this is always, we don't know why we have to keep doing these tests, I think it's a bit damned stupid, but we do, we always put these tests to check your ability to accept.

Even the Nazarene was pushed to the limit was he not? Why would somebody who had devoted their life to the betterment of mankind be treated in such an inhuman manner as he was when it was his time to leave? I don't have any problem with the crucifixion per say because that was pre-ordained prior to his occupation of the

physical world, so do not consider that he was hard done by because he was crucified, because that was understood prior to his occupation of the physical being. What wasn't understood, and this was his perception, was that allowing himself to go through these problems meant that he would be a recipient of the pain and anguish which went with it. He considered that if he allowed himself to go through these that it would be done in a painless way. It just shows we can all be wrong doesn't it. Even the Nazarene can be wrong. There's a bit of enlightenment for you.

We don't look at the details I think, the small print.

If you read the small print you would never come, but the problem is that statement is totally incorrect because if you read the small print you would put smaller print in there because you think that you are capable of overcoming even more! Your concept of your ability to overcome when you are over here is immense and then when you occupy the physical being you think who was the stupid idiot who allowed himself to be put into such problems and then we tell you well you've only got yourself to blame and you think "Yeah right I've heard that before". Isn't that true! You think I wouldn't have been that stupid and the truth of the matter is you weren't that stupid, you were that wise because you knew that you had the capacity to overcome it and that's all we can ask for, that you have the capacity to overcome and you all do.

*Maurice Barbanell
3 April 2012*